R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

898-1899

~~191~~.

(Muzrai)

File No. 29.

(Section I

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month September 1905

SUBJECT.

Sanctions certain Kandayam lands which were in private holding as Inam in the Name of ~~the~~ Sri Ranganatha Swamy Temple at Babadanabalii in Chickkamagaloor in lieu of the original Inam lands taken up by Municipality of Chickkamagaloor

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

G. R. S. X-10.]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNE S
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSOR .

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

Requisition for Papers.

Section Mysore

A

B PS 71898

Filed Sec II

Deposit

File No.

Serial No.

To be put up with Diary No. 1727 of 1921-24

Mys 7 369-15

Signature

Branch Section

Date 13/5/21

A255

Memo

Docket No. 1280, dated 24th March 1899, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District

---

Of the Devadaya Inam lands endowed to the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Basavanahalli, lands to the extent of 10 acres and 30 guntas and assessed at Rs 50-8-0 have been taken up by the Chickmagalur Municapality for the extension of the Town, on payment of a compensation of Rs. of Rs 757-8-0, which amount is now deposited in the Government Savings Bank in the Deputy Commissioner's

Survey No.	Extent A.	Q.	Assessment-Rs.	a.	p.	
11	2	15	15	.	.	
29	-	26	3	12	.	
30	1	10	7	8	.	Jodi payable to Govt Rs 42--
135	2	4	7	8	.	
153	1	1	1	4	.	
155	2	32	15	.	.	
158	.	22	.	8	.	
Total	10	30	50	8	.	

reports that there are in the neighbourhood certain Kandayam lands measuring 5 acres and 6 guntas and assessed at Rs 33-8-0 available for purchase at a cost of Rs 500/-; and in proposing the purchase of the lands out of the temple funds, he recommends that the Kandayam on the lands may be remitted, and the lands converted into Devadaya Inam in favor of the temple.

11-7-99.

Memo Continued.

Orders in this Case were not issued as the appropriation of the Temple lands in question for the extension of the Chickmagalur town had not then been formally sanctioned by Government in the Revenue department This appropriation has since been sanctioned in Govt Pro: No. 956-7 / R 295 dated 30th July 1900; Orders have therefore to be issued now sanctioning the Deputy Commissioner's proposals which have already been approved by the Dewan.

12/8/00

Orders were now be issued for purchase of land & when it is completed & conveyance obtained orders for location from the land will be passed

Muzrai

Kadur by cowcurl letter
No 1863 dy 12th June 1902
reporting the purchase
of new lands to the temple
of Ranganathaswamy at
Basavanhalli in the
Chikmagalur Taluk.

In Govt Pro No 5082
dy 23rd Nov 1900, the
purchase of the Kandaya
lands measuring
5A 6G a extent
at Rs 33-8-0 as
marginally noted was
sanctioned, the purchase-
money of Rs 5-00 being
paid from the sum of
Rs 754-8-0 being
the compensation paid
by the Chikmagalur Munici-
pality for a portion
of the temple lands as
marginally noted -

		Asst
of Ramanahalli Village Chikmagalur Hobli	3-0	Rs 19-0-0
Survey no 163 Ht: wet- of Basavanhalli Village of Chikmagalur Hobli	2-6.	14-8-0
Total	5-6	33-8-0

-:-

up for the depletion of the Chikmagalur 2 & 3 TW.

In the above govt order, the Dy Commr was informed that after the completion of the purchase, orders would be passed regarding the registry of these lands as mam in the name of the temple.

The Dy Commr now reports that the purchase has been completed and solicits orders regarding the lands being converted into devadaya lands. The full assessment on the lands taken up by the Municipality was Rs 50-8-0 of which the govt amount was Rs 42-0-0 and Rs 8-8-0 Inam. The latter seem has now to be reimbursed. On the 5th Sep '99, Sri Seshadri Iyer has recorded

Survey no & Description	Extent A gr.	Assessment Rs as p
Wet 11.	2-15	15-0-0
Wet 19 W.	0-26	3-12-0
Wet 30	1-10	7-8-0
Dry 135 Wet	1-9	1-12-0
	0-35	5-12-0
Dry 153.	1-1	1-4-0
Wet 155.	2-32	15-0-0
Dry 158	0-22	0-8-0
Total	10-30	50-8-0

that the temple is entitled to Inam lands of the survey to value of Rs 59 – 8 – a (to which being taken up for the encroachment is liable to be assessed, if the proposal is sanctioned the Jodi amount on the lands now purchased will be Rs 25 and Inam Rs 8 – 8 – a. The Temple Archak has expressed willingness to pay the Jodi amount

Sd.
26 6 02.

Submitted to the Dewan
Sd
26 6 02

Yes. This
addresses the
non-payment
of Jodi
Sd
18 VII 02

Draft Ltr 11/7/02

1899

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to Govt
Mulgrai Dept

Dated 24th March 1899

Despatched 31st

Received

General No. 1280
No.

Enclosure No. 19 newspapers

Has the honor to forward herewith Rubkari No 520 of 24th instant from the Mulgrai Asst Commissioner, Kadur District, re purchase of lands for Sri Kaggole Ranganathaswami temple at Basavanahalli, Chickmagalore Taluk, in lieu of the Inam lands of the institution acquired by the Municipality for extending the Chickmagalore Town.

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Vide no 282 of 12/1/99

No 520 రుంబకారి.

Encl: V.P.

శ్రీయుతులు డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ మునిసబ్ వారికి అడిషనల్ అసిస్టెంట్ కమిషనర్ వారి

వందనం

తేదీ 24 నవంబర్ 1899 చిత్తూరు } A Ramachandra Mudaliar
Mugrai Assistant Commissioner
Madura District

చిత్తూరు మునిసిపాలిటీలోని ఈ క్రింది పన్ను వసూళ్ళు
అయ్యగారు ఈ కార్యాలయ ఆదేశాలు
ప్రకారం ఈ నుబంధంలో ఇచ్చిన వివరాలు
ప్రకారం ఫసలీ 1307 సంవత్సరానికి 1897-98 సంవత్సరానికి
ప్రకారం ఫసలీ 1308 సంవత్సరానికి 1898-99 సంవత్సరానికి
ప్రకారం ఫసలీ 1309 సంవత్సరానికి 1899-1900 సంవత్సరానికి
ప్రకారం ఫసలీ 1310 సంవత్సరానికి 1900-1901 సంవత్సరానికి
ప్రకారం ఫసలీ 1311 సంవత్సరానికి 1901-1902 సంవత్సరానికి
ప్రకారం ఫసలీ 1312 సంవత్సరానికి 1902-1903 సంవత్సరానికి

ఫసలీ	ప్రకారం	రూ.	అ.
1307	1897-98	11	14-32
1308	1898-99	59-8	7
1309	1899-1900	10-30	50-8-0
1310	1900-1901	95-96	96-97
1311	1901-1902	757-8-0	
1312	1902-1903	4	4-2
		7-8-0	1-8-0

2. వసూలు కాకుండా మిగిలిన పన్ను
మొత్తాలు ఎంత కాలానికి వసూలు
చేయవలసి ఉన్నదీ ఆ కాలానికి
సంబంధించిన అసలు మొత్తాలు

క్రమ సంఖ్య బాండు చులకీ ప్రకారం అయ్యవలసినవి.

రాయపనకళ్ళు 49 శతం 3-0 19-0 200/-

లబ్ధిదారుళ్ళు 163 కొత్త శతం 2-6 14-8 300/-

లబ్ధిదారుడు ఈ భూమిని వరకట్టి సాగులోకి తెచ్చుకోవడానికి ఇంకా ఏమైనా ఖర్చులు అవుతాయేమో అని ఆలోచించి ఎకరానికి 5000/- లు అంటే 757-8-0 లు అవుతుంది. ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు. ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు. ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు.

ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు. ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు. ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు.

ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు. ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు. ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు.

ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు. ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు. ఈ మొత్తాన్ని ఎకరానికి 2500/- లు అంటే 378-12-0 లు ఇవ్వడానికి ఒప్పుకున్నారు.

10

28-1-99

MUZRAI

189

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Dated 12th
Despatched 13th September 1899.
Received

General No. 282
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1 Copy

His No. 1280 dated 24th March 899 requesting sanction to the purchase of certain Kandayam lands for the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Basavanahalli and further recommending that the assessment on these lands be remitted and the lands treated as Devadaya Inam in substitution of the Temple's Inam lands taken up by the Chickmagalur Municipality for the extension of the town.

Has the honour to observe that according to the

From the same no 349 d/25/9/99

Statement (Ferish No 2)
filed in the Vernacular records,
the Temple Inam lands taken
up by the municipality are
as detailed in the margin;
they bear an assessment
of Rs 50-8-0 and a Jodi
of Rs 42 is payable to Govt
on these lands, thus the actual
value of the Inam held
by the temple is only Rs 8-8-0



Survey No	Extent	Assessment	Jodi
11	2 15	15 "	
17	20 3	12 "	21 8 "
30	1 10	8 "	
135	2 4	7 8 "	
153	1 1	1 4 "	
153-5	2 32	15 "	20 8 "
158	" 22	8 "	
Total	10 30	50 8 "	42 "

2 The two Kandayam
lands now proposed to
be purchased and granted
to the temple as Inam bear,
on the other hand, an
assessment of Rs 33-8-0
or Rs 25 more than the
actual value of the Inam
lands taken up by the
municipality. Though
there is no objection to
the purchase of these lands
for the temple out of the
compensation money
received from the muni
cipality and deposited



Survey No	Extent	Assessment
49 of Ramanna kalle village	3 "	17 " "
163 part of Kallakulle village	2 6	14 8 "
Total	5 6	33 8 "

in the Post Savings Bank, yet the entire assessment on these lands amounting to Rs 33-8-0 cannot be remitted in full. All that the temple is entitled to, is an Inam of the value of Rs 8-8-0 only; and this will be located in the lands now proposed to be purchased for the temple by remitting Rs 8-8-0, out of the assessment of Rs 33-8-0 imposed thereon and registering the lands as Devadaya Inam to the temple subject to the payment of the remaining portion of the assessment, namely Rs 25 as Jodi on the Inam.

3. Before however passing final orders on the subject, the Dy Comr is

requested to enquire
and report if the temple
Pujari who is desirous
of securing the lands
as Inam to the temple
is prepared to pay a
Jodi of Rs 25 on the
lands; and in case he is
willing to do so a
Muchalika to that
effect may be obtained
from him and ~~forwarded~~
submitted for the further
orders of Govt.
4. The enclosures are
herewith returned.

B. O.

Inam Secretary

1899-1900

FROM The Deputy Commr
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of mysore
Mugrai Dept

Dated 20th
Despatched do September 1899
Received

General No. 349
No.

Enclosure No. ver. papers.

Forwarding statement of the Pujari of the temple of Sri Doddgole Ranganath swami at Basavanhalli, Chikmagalur Taluk, agreeing to pay the godi of Rs 257. on the land.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 22. 9. 99
REG. No 188
MUZRAI BRANCH

Vide no 353 dy 29/9/99

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District
Chickmagalur 20th September
1899.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Mulgrai Department.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 282 of the 13th September 1899, I have the honor to forward herewith the statement of the Pujari of the temple of Sri Kaggole Ranganathaswami at Basavanahalli in Chickmagalur, agreeing to pay the Jodi of Rs 25/- on the lands in question.—

2. The vernacular records are also herewith retransmitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

MUZRAI

189

From

To

The Dr Kadur Dt.

Dated 29

Despatched 30 . 9 . 99

Received

General No. 3355

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

His Do 3499 dt 20th
Sept 99 req. the
purchase of certain
lands for the
Sri Ranganatha Swamy
Temples & Bannanahalli
in lieu of the Temple's
main lands taken
up by the Chikmagalur
~~the~~ Municipality
for the extension
of the [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Honor to enquire if
the Temple's lands
~~the Temple lands~~
required for the extension
of the Chuckumpalum Tank
have been taken
possession of by the
Municipality & if
a reply Alternation
Sch Lands Govt. in
respect thereof has
been had to &
Same Answered Govt.
in the Revd & Rev
Depts.

2 Adv. Govt
Reply is requested

B.V
21

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 4-3-1900
REG. No 634
MUZRAI BRANCH

1899-1900

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, Judicial Dept

Dated
Despatched 20th March 1900
Received

General No. 1145
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the inam
lands of Sri Rangaswamy
Swami at Basavanahalli
were taken possession of
by the Municipality but
that no alienation Statement
has been Submitted

Vide no 1089 dy 18.4.00

V°

Kadur District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Sakrepatna 2nd March 1900

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Inam Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 355
of the 30th September 1899, enquiring whether
the inam lands of Sri Ranganathaswamy at
Baswanahalli in the Chikmagalur Taluk, have
been taken possession of by the municipality
and the alienation thereof sanctioned by
Government, I have the honor to state
that the lands were taken possession of by
the municipality some time ago but that the alienation
statement has not as yet been submitted
owing to the dispute regarding the acquisi-
tion of certain other lands

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

MUZRAI

189

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Dy Comr
Kadur Dt.

Dated 18. 4. 1900

Despatched 20/4

Received

General No. 1089
Muzrai F. No

Enclosure No.

His letter No. 1145 dated 2nd March 1900 reporting that the Chickmagalur Municipality have already taken possession of the lands belonging to the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Basavanahalli and purchased by them & on Rs. 757-8-0. but that no alienation sanad has yet been issued thereon on account of some dispute regarding the acquisition of certain other lands.

With reference to the above

has the honor to enquire
why the dispute in respect
of another land shared ~~recently~~ caused any delay in
~~the Government from submitting~~ the submission of
the alienation statement for
the temple lands which have
been already ~~to be acquired by~~ paid for
and ~~paid for~~ taken possession of by the municipality.

~~I am instructed to request~~

~~I am &c~~

B. O.

Asst Secy

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
Letter No 863
MUZRAI BRANCH

1899 - 1900

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

Dated 23rd June 1900

Despatched

Received

General No. 1660
No.

Enclosure None

Stating the reasons for
the delay in the submission
of the alienation Statement
for certain temple
lands which were taken
up by the Chikamagalur
Municipality.

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's
Office

Dated, Chikkamagalur 23rd June 1900

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Inam Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No 1089 of the 18th April 1900 enquiring
why the dispute in respect of another
land should cause any delay in the
submission of the alienation statement
for inam lands of Sri Ranganatha
Swami temple at Basavanahalli in
the Chikkamagalur Taluq which were
taken up by the Chikkamagalur Municipality,
I have the honor to state that it
is ascertained that the alienation
statement referred to has since

been submitted and is pending before Government in the General and Revenue Departments. The delay in the submission of the statement was owing to the records on the subject being before the District judge's Court in Connection with a suit for settlement of Compensation in respect of certain other lands

I have the honor to be
Sir,

your most obedient servant

P. Ramaswamy

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

HR.

76 Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

Read :-

Correspondence ending with letter no. 1660 dated 23rd June 1900 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur district, regarding the grant to the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Basavanahalli near Chickmagalur, of certain lands as Inam in lieu of the Temple's Inam lands taken up by the Municipality for the extension of Chickmagalur town.

Order thereon no. 582 Jud. 17th
Dated Bangalore 23rd November 1900.

Out of the Inam lands measuring 14 acres 30 guntas and assessed at Rs 59-4-0 attached to the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Basavanahalli under Title Deed no. 47/300 of Chickmagalur Taluk, lands to the Inam value of Rs 8-8-0 as detailed in the margin* have been taken up by the Chickmagalur Municipality for the extension of the town on payment of a Compensation of Rs 757-8-0 which has been deposited in the Government

Village	Survey no. and description	Extent A	Extent a	Assessment R.	a.	P
Basavanahalli in Chickmagalur ~~Taluk~~ Hobli	wet. 11	2	15	15	.	.
	wet. 19 Pt.	.	26	3	12	.
	wet. 30	1	10	7	8	.
	Dry } 135	1	9	1	12	.
	wet }	.	35	5	12	.
	Dry 153	1	1	1	4	.
	wet. 155	2	32	15	.	.
	Dry. 158	.	22	.	8	.
Total				50	8	.
Deduct Jodi on the above				42	.	.
Value of Inam				8	8	.

Bank in the name of the temple. As a substitute for the aforesaid portion of the temple Inam taken up by the Municipality, the deputy Commissioner in Compliance with the request of the ~~Archak~~ and other devotees of the temple Archak, recommends that the marginally noted Kandayam lands measuring 5 acres 6 guntas and assessed at Rs 33-8-0 which are in private holding and are available for purchase for a price of Rs 500, may be ~~bought~~ for the temple out of the aforesaid compensation money and that these lands after purchase be registered as Inam in the name of the temple, subject to the annual payment of a Jodi of Rs 25-0-0 which is the difference between the Survey assessment thereon and the Inam value of the Temple's lands taken up by the Municipality. The Archak of the temple, in a statement dated 20th September 1899 made before the deputy Commissioner, has also agreed to pay a Jodi of Rs 25 a year on behalf of the temple in respect of the lands in question. Should the Government be pleased

Kandayam lands measuring 5 acres 6 guntas and assessed at Rs 33-8-0 which		Extent	Assessment
	Survey no 49 Wet of Ramanahalli village, Chickmagalur Hobli	A 3-0	Rs 19-0-0
	Survey no 163 pt Wet of Uppahalli village of Chickmagalur Hobli	2-6.	" 14-8-0
	Total	5 6.	Rs 33-8-0

to assign them as Inam to the temple

2. The appropriation of the temple Inam lands for the extension of the Chickmagalur town, having been sanctioned by Government in Proceedings No. $\frac{956-7}{R295}$ dated 30th July 1900, the Deputy Commissioner's proposal to purchase for the temple, the Kandayem lands specified in the foregoing para for a price of Rs 600- to be paid out of the temple funds is now approved. The Deputy Commissioner will take steps to obtain from the owner of the aforesaid lands a regular deed of conveyance in favor of the temple and have the deed duly registered. On receipt of his report as to the completion of the purchase, further orders will be passed regarding the registry of these lands as Inam in the name of the temple ~~in the manner proposed above.~~

Musrif Secretary

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District, Etcetera enclosures

29

926
13.6.02

1901.02

FROM B. G. Krishnaiengar Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Deputy Secretary to
Government of Mysore
Magisterial Department

Dated 12th June 02

Despatched

Received

General No. 1863
No.

Enclosure No. Ver. Paper

Submitted

The registry of these ~~lands~~ lands in favour of the temple may be sanctioned

21/6/02

Stating that the purchase of new lands to the temple Kaggali Ranganatheswami at Basavanhalli is completed and requesting the registry of the same as Inam to the said temple.

Vide no 177-9 dt 23/7/02

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's
Office

Dated, Chikamagalur 12th June 02

From

B. G. Krishnaiengar Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To L. Ananta-Sami Rao Esquire B.A.
Deputy Secretary to Government
of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of Government Proceedings No. 582 of the 23rd November 1900, I have the honor to report that the purchase of Kandayam Lands in favor of the temple of Kaggoli Ranganatha Swami at Basavanhalli in lieu of Inam lands thereof taken up by the Chikamagalur Municipality, has been completed. A Sum of Rs 500/- was drawn from the Government Savings Bank and paid to Ranga owner of the lands from whom a duly registered Conveyance deed in favor of the temple was obtained.

2. On incorrect information received from the Amildar in his report No. 63 dated 28th June 1899, it was originally reported to Government that a Sum of Rs 757-8-0 was received from the Chikamagalur Municipality as Compensation for the lands taken up by it. It is now ascertained

that

3/2

that only Rs 754-8-0 and not Rs 757-8-0 was the actual amount of Compensation received and credited to Savings Bank.

3. The purchase of the lands having been Completed, I Solicit orders regarding the registry of these lands as Inam in favor of the temple.

4. The Vernacular file together with the Conveyance deed above referred to ending with Chikamagalur Taluk Amildar's No 612 of the 4th June 1902 are herewith forwarded for reference and favor of return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

H.K.

Muzrai

Read:-

Corrce ending with letter No. 1863, dated 12th June 1902, from the Dy Commr., Kadur District, regarding the registry of certain Kandayam lands as Inam to the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavanahalli, in lieu of the temple's Inam lands taken up by the Municipality for the extension of the Chickmagalur Town.

Order thereon

The Govt in their Pro: No. 58: Dy 23rd Nov: 1900, sanctioned the purchase of certain Kandayem lands in lieu of the marginally noted Inam lands measuring 10 acres 30 guntas and assessed at Rs 50-8-0 attached to the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavana halli under Hobli deed No. 47/300, of the Chickmagalur Taluk, which were taken up by the Chickmagalur Municipality

No. 177-9 Enclo VPP
Muzf. 19-98
Dy 23/7/1902.

Village	Survey No Description	Extent A-G	Assessment Rs
Basavanhalli in Chickmagalur Hobli.	wet 11	2-15	15-—
	wet-19 pt	0-26	3-12-—
	wet-30	1-10	7-8-—
	Dry } 135 wet }	1-9	1-12-—
	"	0-35	5-12-—
	Dry 153	1-1	1-4-—
	wet 155	2-32	15-—
	Dry 158	0-22	0-8-—
		10-30	50-8-0
Deduct for inam the above		42	
Value of Inam			Rs 8-8-0

for the extension of the Town, on payment of a compensation of Rs 757-8-0.

2. The Dy Comm^r in his comm^n read above, reports that the marginally noted Kandayam lands measuring 5 acres 6 guntas and assessed at Rs 33-8-0, which were in the private holding of one Ranjiah have been purchased for Rs 500/- out of the compensation amount of Rs 754-8-0 (as now stated) invested in the Govt. Savings Bank, and that a regular deed of conveyance has been obtained from him in favor of the temple and duly registered; and recommends ~~the registry of these lands as Inam in the name of the temple~~ that, in substitution of the temple's original Inam lands taken up by the Municipality, these lands may be registered as Inam in its name, subject to the annual payment by it of a Jodi of Rs 25/- being the difference between the Survey assessment thereon and the

	Extent	Ass^t Rs
Survey No: 49 wet of Ramanahalli village, Chikmagalur Hobli	4 G 30	19-8-0
Survey No 163/5 wet of Uppahalli village of Chikmagalur Hobli	2-6	14-8-0
Total	5-6	33-8-0

Inam value of the temple's
lands taken up by the Municipality.

3 In these circs, the Govt
are pleased to sanction the
D/Comr's recommendation the
Supdt of Inam Settlements
will be requested to register
the newly purchased lands
referred to in the foregoing para
as "Devadaya Inam" in favor
of the Sri Ranganathaswami
Temple at Basavanahalli,
Chickmagalur Taluk The
necessary entries should also
be made in the village accounts,
and a final report submitted
for the information of Govt.

Subd
May this be printed

Yes

Dy Secretary,
Inam: Dept

To
The D/Comr, Kadur Dt.
Re 8 V Pp.
Inam Supdt in Mysore.
Supdt of Rev: Survey in Mysore

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1863, dated 12th June 1902, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, regarding the registry of certain Kandayam lands as Inam to the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavanahalli, in lieu of the temple's Inam lands taken up by the Municipality for the extension of the Chikmagalur Town.

No. 177-9—MUZ. F. 19-98, DATED BANGALORE, 23RD JULY 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government, in their Proceedings No. 582, dated 23rd November 1900, sanctioned the purchase of certain Kandayam lands in lieu of the marginally noted Inam lands measuring 10 acres and 30 guntas and assessed at Rs. 50-8-0 attached to the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavanahalli under Title-deed No. 47—300, of the Chikmagalur Taluk, which were taken up by the Chikmagalur Municipality for the extension of the town, on payment of a compensation of Rs. 757-8-0.

Village.	Survey No. and description.	Extent A.	g.	Assessment. Rs	a.	p.
Basavanahalli in Chikmagalur Hobli.	Wet 11	2	15	15	0	0
	Wet 19 pt.	0	26	3	12	0
	Wet 30	1	10	7	8	0
	Dry } 135	1	9	1	12	0
	Wet }	0	35	5	12	0
	Dry 153	1	1	1	4	0
	Wet 155	2	32	15	0	0
	Dry 158	0	22	0	8	0
	Total ...	10	30	50	8	0
	Deduct Jodi on the above			42	0	0
	Value of Inam			8	8	0

2. The Deputy Commissioner, in his communication read above, reports that the marginally noted Kandayam lands measuring 5 acres and 6 guntas and assessed at Rs. 33-8-0, which were in the private holding of one Rangia, have been purchased for Rs. 500 out of the compensation amount of Rs. 754-8-0 (as now stated) invested in the Government Savings Bank, and that a regular deed of conveyance has been obtained from him in favor of the temple and duly registered; and recommends that in substitution of the temple's original Inam lands taken up by the Municipality, these lands may be registered as Inam in its name, subject to the annual payment by it of a jodi of Rs. 25, being the difference between the Survey assessment thereon and the Inam value of the temple's lands taken up by the Municipality.

	Extent. A.	g.	Assessment. Rs	a.	p.
Survey No. 49 Wet of Ramanahalli village, Chikmagalur Hobli.	3	0	19	0	0
Survey No. 103 pt. Wet of Uppahalli village, Chikmagalur Hobli.	2	6	14	8	0
Total ...	5	6	33	8	0

3. In these circumstances, the Government are pleased to sanction the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements will be requested to register the newly purchased lands referred to in the foregoing para as "Devadaya Inam" in favor of the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavanahalli, Chikmagalur Taluk. The necessary entries should also be made in the village accounts, and a final report submitted for the information of Government.

Deputy Secretary, Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, returning Vernacular papers.
The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.
The Superintendent of Revenue Survey in Mysore.

Exd.—J.T.R.

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 23rd July 1902

No. 177-9
Muz. F. 19-98

Sanctioning the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to certain Kandayam lands which were in the private holding of one Rangia as Inam in the name of the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavanahalli, Chikmagalur Taluk, in substitution of the original inam lands taken up by the Chikmagalur Municipality.

SBP—1-8-02—200

Notes.

Submitted.

May this be transferred to the Muzrai Dept. Sir

[illegible]
13/7/03
14

[illegible]
13/7/03

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 15-7-03
REG. No 69
MUZRAI BRANCH

94 1903

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT [illegible]

To

THE SECY TO THE GOVT [illegible]

Dated 25th

Dated 25th June

No. 40 1 2 3

Government [illegible]
No 1774 of the 25th [illegible]
instt 5/1903

With reference to [illegible] above, [illegible] that it is not clear [illegible] the proportionate [illegible] and [illegible] of the [illegible] Devadaya [illegible] for the [illegible] [illegible] Rs 1/2/. the total [illegible] 30 [illegible] [illegible] 34 [illegible]

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1863, dated 12th June 1902, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, regarding the registry of certain Kandayam lands as Inam to the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavanahalli, in lieu of the temple's Inam lands taken up by the Municipality for the extension of the Chikmagalur Town.

No. 177-9—MUZ. F. 19-98, DATED BANGALORE, 23RD JULY 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government, in their Proceedings No. 582, dated 23rd November 1900, sanctioned the purchase of certain Kandayam lands in lieu of the marginally noted Inam lands measuring 10 acres and 30 guntas and assessed at Rs. 50-8-0 attached to the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavanahalli under Title-deed No. 47—300, of the Chikmagalur Taluk, which were taken up by the Chikmagalur Municipality for the extension of the town, on payment of a compensation of Rs. 757-8-0.

Village.	Survey No. and description.	Extent. A.	g.	Assessment. Rs.	a.	p.
Basavanahalli in Chikmagalur Hobli.	Wet 11	2	15	15	0	0
	Wet 19 pt.	0	26	3	12	0
	Wet 30	1	10	7	8	0
	Dry / Wet 135	1	9	1	12	0
		0	35	5	12	0
	Dry 153	1	1	1	4	0
	Wet 155	2	32	15	0	0
	Dry 158	0	22	0	8	0
	Total ...	10	30	50	8	0
	Deduct Jodi on the above			42	0	0
	Value of Inam			8	8	0

2. The Deputy Commissioner, in his communication read above, reports that the marginally noted Kandayam lands measuring 5 acres and 6 guntas and assessed at Rs. 33-8-0, which were in the private holding of one Rangia, have been purchased for Rs. 500 out of the compensation amount of Rs. 754-8-0 (as now stated) invested in the Government Savings Bank, and that a regular deed of conveyance has been obtained from him in favor of the temple and duly registered; and recommends that in substitution of the temple's original Inam lands taken up by the Municipality, these lands may be registered as Inam in its name, subject to the annual payment by it of a jodi of Rs. 25, being the difference between the Survey assessment thereon and the Inam value of the temple's lands taken up by the Municipality.

	Extent. A.	g.	Assessment. Rs.	a.	p.
Survey No. 49 Wet of Ramanahalli village, Chikmagalur Hobli.	3	0	19	0	0
Survey No. 163 pt. Wet of Uppahalli village, Chikmagalur Hobli.	2	6	14	8	0
Total ...	5	6	33	8	0

3. In these circumstances, the Government are pleased to sanction the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements will be requested to register the newly purchased lands referred to in the foregoing para as "Devadaya Inam" in favor of the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavanahalli, Chikmagalur Taluk. The necessary entries should also be made in the village accounts, and a final report submitted for the information of Government.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Deputy Secretary, Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, returning Vernacular papers.
The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.
The Superintendent of Revenue Survey in Mysore.

Exd.—J.T.R.

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 23rd July 1902

No. 177-9 / Muz. F. 19-98

Sanctioning the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to certain Kandayam lands which were in the private holding of one Rangia as Inam in the name of the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Basavanahalli, Chikmagalur Taluk, in substitution of the original inam lands taken up by the Chikmagalur Municipality.

SBP—1-8-02—200

MUZRAI

~~1901~~

From The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

To Muzrai Dept
The Dy Comr
Kadur Dist

Dated

Despatched 18th July 03

Received

General No. ~~166~~ 167.
Muzrai F. No. 19-98

Enclosure No. 1

herewith
I forwarding ~~Docket~~
a copy of the Docket-D.O. 90 dated 25th June 03
from the Asst Supt in
Mysore, ~~Suggesting~~
~~a recalculation in~~
regarding the Godi } ~~the matter of the~~ Asst
amount payable on the }
lands formerly ~~in the~~
attached ~~to the~~ Sri Ranga
~~name of a~~
Ramaswami temple
Basavanhalli, Nedr
at Chikkanayakanahalli
but since ~~used~~ acquired by
the Chikmagalur Municipality for extending the

town, has the honour to request that the Dy Comr's attention be invited to the para 1 of Govt's No. 170-9 dated 23rd July 02 giving details of the Kham lands resumed & about and to request that a report explaining clearly the circumstances under which the error pointed out by the Kham Supt occurred may be submitted to Govt together with his opinion in the matter.

By order

Dft

1817

Dy Secretary
Revenue Dept

Js
17/7/03

request that the Dy Comr may be so good as to explain how the Govt announcement in regard to the lands taken up by the municipality was calculated to be Rs 42, as stated in the Yamenbar file submitted by the Assistant Comr with Rubkari No 520 dated 24th March 1899, and which was submitted to this Office with the Dy Comr's Docket No 1280 dated 6th of the same date.

1903

From M. Narasimha Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To L. Ananthasami Rao Esq B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 23rd July 1903.

Despatched 24th —— Do ——

Received

General No 54
No.

Enclosure No. 2nd —

Explaining how the jodi amount payable on the Inam lands formerly attached to the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Basavanahalli in the Chikmagalur Taluk, taken up by the Honorable Judge was calculated to be Rs 1-9

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
109
25. 7. 1903
SECRETARIAT

5/7/03

No 389 Dy 6/8/03
Copy forwarded to the Inam Supdt with reference to his Docket no 90 dy 25th June 1903.
BD
Sdy DA.
Dy Secy

MGCPB—50 rams—6 12-02

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

From. M. Narasima Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To, L. Ananthasami Rao Esqure B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 167 of 1998 of the 18th instant, I have the honor to inform you that the proportionate Jodi on the 10 acres and 30 guntas of Inam land belonging to Sri Kanganatha-Swami temple at Basavanahalli near Chitinagalur, and taken up by the municipality was calculated with reference to the assessment value thereof. The assessment value of the entire Inam being Rs 59.4-0 and the Combined Quit Rent thereon Rs 49.4-0, the proportionate Jodi on the 10 3/4 acres assessed at Rs 50.8-0 is Rs 42/- as already reported.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

M. Narasima Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

1903

208

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALOR

To Deputy
THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
~~GEN. & REV DEPT~~
Muzrai Department

12/8/03

Dated 11th
Despatched 11th August
Received

No. 28. of 1903-04

Enclosure No. –

Refers
Rs
H.
Aff. subd papers

With reference to his Memo No. 381 Muz F 19-98. of the 6th August 1903, has the honor to state that the calculation by the Deputy Commissioner of Jodi on 10¾ acres of Devadaya inam land taken up for the Kuk-Kamagalur Municipality, on the combined quit-rent of Rs. 49-4-0 is incorrect. The inam Kowar of Rs. 2-0-0 has to be left out and the proportionate amount calculated on the original Jodi of Rs 4-7-4. It is, therefore, suggested that instructions be issued to have the amounts corrected accordingly.

Sup't of Inam Settlements

MGCPB—12-2-04

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

To

The D. Commr.
Kadur D.t

Dated 22nd August 1903.

Despatched

Received

General No. 576
Muzrai F. No. 19-98

Enclosure No. One.

His letter No. 54 dated 23rd July 1903 explaining that the jodi on 10 3/4 acres of inam land belonging to the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Basavanha Eli near Chickmagalur which was taken up by the Municipality was calculated with reference to the assessment value [illegible]

With reference [illegible]
above, [illegible]

MGCPB—1,000—21 7 03.

that the Superintendent of Inam Settlements who was addressed in the matter points out that the calculation by the Dy Commr of Jodi on 10 3/4 acres of land on the Combined Quit rent of Rs 49-4-0 is incorrect, and states that the inam Kasar of Rs 2 has to be left out and the proportionate amount calculated on the original Jodi of Rs 47-4-0. A copy of his communication is herewith for immediate

2. The Dy Commr is requested to have the amounts corrected accordingly & report the result to this Office early.

By order

Dy Secretary

Encl: Details.

No. 546 dt 22.8.03.

Copy to the Inam Superintendent with reference his docket No. 28 dt 11th August 1903.

By order

Dy Secretary

ks
21/8/03



1904.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Deputy Secretary to the
Govt: of Mysore, Revs: Depth

Dated 16.th January 1904

Despatched 18.
19

Received

General No. 357
No.

Enclosure No. 1 V. File.

Rec.d
20/1/04
KS

Soliciting sanction to the disbursement of Rs 51/- to the Archak of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Basavanahalli, Chikmagalur Taluk for the period he worked gratis till 1900-01.

Deputy Commissioners office
Kadur District.

From. M. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To. L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B. A.
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the marginally noted Inam lands assessed at Rs 59/4/ with a jodi of Rs 49-4-0 were in the enjoyment of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Basavanahally, Chikmagalur Taluk, till 1895-96 when they were, with the exception of nos: 25, 160 & 163, assessed at Rs 8-12-0, with a jodi of Rs 7-4-0, acquired at a Compensation of Rs 754-8-0 for the extension of the Chikmagalur Town, in accordance with the Government order no. $\frac{956-7}{R\,295}$ dated 30th July 1900.

no. and description	Extent	Assessment
wet 11	2.15	15-0-0
19 part	0.26	3.12-0
dry & wet 25	0.16 / 1.17	0-4-0 / 6-4-0
dry 30	1.10	7.8.0
dry & wet 135	1.09 / 0.35	1.12-0 / 5.12-0
dry 153	1.1	1 4-0
wet 155	2.32	15-0-0
dry 160	0.26	0-12-0
dry 158	0.22	0-8-0
dry 163	1.21	1-8-0
Total	14.30	59.4-0.

jodi Rs 49.4-0.

jari Rs 10-0-0.

2. In lieu of the lands acquired, lands assessed at Rs 33.8-0 in the holding of one Rangaiah, were purchased for Rs 500/- and assigned to the temple as Devadaya Inam on the authority of Government: order no: $\frac{177-9}{\text{muz. } 719-98}$ dated 23.7.1902.

3. 49 During the interval between 1895-96 and 1900-1901, the archak enjoyed a profit or jara of Rs 1-0-0 only, instead of Rs 10/- as allowed on the original scale, although he continued to perform the Puja during that period. He now requests that the difference which comes to Rs 51/- for 6 years may be paid to him.

4. Under these circumstances I have the honor to solicit sanction to the disbursement of Rs 51/- to the Pujari, the amount being met from the sum of Rs 428-1-11 remaining to the credit of the temple in the Government Savings Bank at Chikmagalur at the end of 1902-03. The latter amount represents the balance of the compensation money paid to the Temple in 1895-96 with interest thereon.

5 The Vernacular file connected with the case is herewith submitted for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant.

Assistant
for Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

50

R 2273
1904

From
The Superintendent of Police
Bettlamurtha Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments

Dated 29th
Despatched 29th } January
Received
General No. 87.
No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request the favour of an early reply to this office docket No 90 of the 25th June 1903 regarding the mode of calculation of the proportionate fee for the 16 acres and 30 guntas of the portion of Gundaya inam lands taken up for the Chikkanayakanahalli Municipality. The Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District wants to know if the lands given in exchange are registered in the name of the temple owner as the registry could not be effected without the above information, the undersigned requests that it may be furnished early.

Supdt
Police

Subject.
Yes. The original paper was received in this branch and transferred to Mungoor Branch on 13-7-03.

31/1

To
Mungoor Dept

1/2/03.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1634.
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Rec'd
3/2/04

Yes

Repeated reminders inviting the Dy Commr's attention to this office Cir No 38 dated 9th August 1903

11/2/04

Ref
Rev
30-1-04

Submitted

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1630
JUDICIAL

51

1904.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To The Deputy Secretary to Govt
Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Dated 2nd February 1904.

Despatched 2nd —

Received

General No. 377
No.

Enclosure No. nil

Stating that the godi on 10 3/4 acres of Devadaya Inam taken up from the Chikmagalur Municipality calculated on the original poor of Rs 47.4.0, amounts to Rs 34.7.0, and the amount referred to have been corrected accordingly as directed. —

Copies
ds
3/2/04

Draft submitted
regarding the letter

ds
11/2/04

Deputy Commissioner's office
Kadur District.

From. M. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To. L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Govt:
of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 of your docket no: 545 / Muzrai / 19.98 dated 21st August 1903, I have the honor to state that the jodi on 10 3/4 acres of Devadaya Inam taken up for the Chikmagalur Municipality, calculated on the original jodi of Rs 47.4-0 amounts to Rs 34.7-0 as pointed out by the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, in his no: 90 dated 25. 6. 1903 addressed to the Secretary to Government in the General and Revenue Departments, a copy of which was forwarded with your docket no: 167 of the 18th July 1903.

The amounts referred to have been corrected accordingly as directed.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

For Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

Municipal

Read:—

Letter No. 377 dt 2nd Feb: 1904 from the Dt Commr Kadur District, stating that the Jodi on 10 3/4 acres of Devadaya inam taken up for the Chickmagalur Municipality calculated on the original Jodi of Rs 47-4. amounts to Rs 34-7-0 as pointed out by the Inam Superintt in his No 90 dt 25th June 1903 and that the amount has been corrected accordingly.

No. 2472-3
Mun. F. No. 19-98.
dt 19. 2. 04.

Order thereon No.

Recorded.

16/1/04

To the Dt Commr
Kadur Dt
" Superintt of Inam Settts
with reference to his docket No
87 dt 29th Jan: 1904 on the
address of the Regr of Govts, Fd & Rev: Depts.

Dt Sec
Mun Dept.

Rs
13/2/04

Rs

Read: 54

Correspondence ending with letter
No 359 dated 16th January 1904 from
the Deputy Commissioner, Kedu Distn.
soliciting sanction to the disbursement
of Rs 57/6 the arrear of the Sri Rama
Nathaswami temple at Basavanthi
near Chikmagalur Town.

No. 2498-99/20-2-04

Inwd: 19.98 Encl(s)

Order thereon: No

In the correspondence read
above, the Deputy Commissioner has
that out of the Inam lands 14-30
assessed at Rs 59-4-0 with a jo
of Rs 49-4-0 endowed to the tem
10-30 were acquired, ~~leaving~~
~~4 acres assessed at Rs 8-12-0 with~~
~~a jodi of Rs 1-4-0~~ for extending
the Chikmagalur Town in ac-
-cordance with Govt Pro: No 956-7
dated 30th July 1900 that in lieu
~~there~~ of the lands (taking away lands)
holding of one Ramji the

leaving only 4 acres
assessed at Rs 8-12-0
for the temple of
the temple;

were bought for Rs 500 and assigned
as devadaya a Dram in favor of the
temple as directed in Govt Pros
No 177-9 dated 23rd July 1902, that
Mun. F. 19-98
during the interval between 1895-96
and 1900-01, the archak, who had
been enjoying a profit or Jari of
Rs 10 for rendering service in the
temple, enjoyed a profit of Rs 1-8 annas
and that he now claims the difference
between what he would have received
had the temple lands not been acquired
by the Municipality and what he
has now been receiving. The
Deputy Commissioner proposes
that, in full satisfaction of the claim,
a sum of Rs 51 may be paid
to the Archak for the whole period
mentioned above and accordingly
solicits sanction to the disbursement
of Rs 51. A Muchalika from the
Archak agreeing to receive the
aforesaid sum of Rs 51 in full satisfaction

of the remuneration due to him is also submitted to the Deputy Commissioner.

2. Under these circumstances the Government are pleased to sanction the disbursement of Rs 51 to the Arehak, the charge being met from Rs 428-1-11 the balance of Compensation together with interest reported to be available at the credit of the temple in the Govt Saving Bank.

11/2/14
Dy Secretary
Insp: Deptt.

JS
11/2/14

To

The Dy Comr, Kadur
Retg the V. P.

Copy to Comptroller to the Govt
H.H. the Maharaja
Mysore for information.

MC

1905

2 for please
14/8/05
by



From
The Superintendent of Indian Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore & other Departments

Dated 11th
Despatched 11th
Received
General No. 28
No.

Enclosure No.

I Has the honor to request the favor of an early reply to this office docket No. 28 dated 11th August 1903, regarding the Calculation of Godi on the lands in Basavanhalli village taken up for the Chikkanayakanahalli Municipality. The cases have not yet been registered for want of this information.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant

58 Mysore

No 1113 dy 28.8.05
Mun. F.19-98.

To,

The Superintendent
of Town Settlements
in Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to your
Docket No 28 dy 11th August
1905 requesting an early
reply to your communication
No 28 dy 11th August 1903 regarding
the calculation of Jodi
on the lands in Basavanhalli
village taken up by the Chickmagalur
Municipality, I am directed to
invite your reference to this
Office No 546 dy 22nd August
1903 and the subsequent
Govt Pro. No 2472-3
Mun. F.19-98 dy
19th February 1904, a

Copy of which was forwarded to you with ref.ce to your Docket No. 87 dt 29th January 1904 to the address of the Secretary to Govt., Genl and Rev. Deptt. As the amount of the Jodi in question has been corrected to Rs 34-7-0, it is not understood what further reply is required as asked in your docket under advertence.

I have &c-

25/8/05
Offg. Mus Dpty Collr

24/8/05

1905.

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.



To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Muzrai Department.

Dated } 15th September.
Despatched }
Received

General No. 40
No.

Rupees
2-1
16/9/05

Enclosure No. One

With reference to the Government Proceedings No. 177-9 M.F.19-98 dated 23rd July 1902, has the honor to forward, herewith, a copy of the Re-Revised Final Quit-Rent Register for Title Deed No. 300 of Basavanahalli village printed at page 57, Serial No. 47/300 of the Revised Final Quit-Rent Register of the Chickmagalur Taluk, Kadur District.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

CK

1905



From
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Muzrai Department

Dated
Despatched } 15th September
Received

General No 42
No.

Enclosure No. 1

With reference to the Government Proceedings No. 177-9 / M 719-98 dated 23rd July 1902, has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Settlement Register of the Inam villages of the Chikkanayakanhalli Taluk, Tumkur District

Refer
21
16/9/05

× Taluk ... Village. Title Deed No.
1 Chikkanayakanhalli ... Chikkanahalli ... 554
2 — Do — Channarayanhalli ... 555